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ABSTRACT

Objective The purpose of this study is to examine the regional distribution of the pediatric surgery workforce and the expected local demand for pediatric surgical procedures in Brazil.

Methods We collected data on the pediatric surgical workforce, surgical volume, Gross Domestic Product per capita, and mortality for gastrointestinal tract malformations (MGITM) across the different regions of Brazil for 2019.

Results Data from the Federal Medical Council reported 1515 pediatric surgery registries in Brazil, corresponding to 1414 pediatric surgeons (some pediatric surgeons are registered in more than one state), or 2.4 pediatric surgeons per 100,000 children 14 years of age and younger. There were 828 men and 586 women. The mean age was 51.5±12.8 years, and the mean time from graduation was 3.4±5.7 years. There is a higher concentration of pediatric surgeons in the wealthier Central-West, South, and Southeast regions. Individual surgical volume ranged from 88 to 245 operations/year (average 146 operations/year) depending on the region. Of these, only nine (6.1%) were high-complexity (including neonatal) operations. MGITM tended to be higher in the poorer North and Northeast regions than in other regions of Brazil.

Conclusions Our findings suggest significant disparities in the surgical workforce and workload across Brazil related to socioeconomic status. Regions with an increased surgical workforce were associated with lower MGITM. The average number of complex operations performed annually by each pediatric surgeon was considerably low. Strategic investment and well-defined health policies are imperative to enhance the quality of surgical care in the different regions of Brazil.

Level of evidence Retrospective review; level IV.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been an increase in the publication of general data on the demand and workforce of pediatric surgery in several countries.1-7

In Brazil, despite some recent publications,8-11 information regarding the exact size of the pediatric surgery workforce, as well as the regional demands for pediatric operations, is scarce and often ambiguous, depending on the source of the information. This lack of reliable information makes it challenging to plan the needs of these professionals across the different regions of Brazil and estimate the annual requirement for training new pediatric surgeons.

The purpose of this study is to present data on the regional distribution of the pediatric surgical workforce, seeking to correlate it with the expected local demand for pediatric surgical procedures and propose potential alternatives.

METHODS

This study is an ecological, cross-sectional descriptive analysis using data from the Brazilian public health system (Sistema Unico de Saude) and the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE).
Data from the IBGE show that in 2019, Brazil had 213 million inhabitants and a Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita of US$6277.86. It comprises 26 states and a federal district, which are grouped into five major regions (North, Northeast, Southeast, South, and Central-West). From the economic point of view, the North and Northeast regions are poorer, displaying an average GDP of approximately US$3580.00, whereas the remaining regions display an average GDP of US$7678.57.

Estimates of the pediatric surgery workforce and surgical volume were obtained from the databases available at IBGE (http://tabnet.datasus.gov.br/cgi/deftohtm.exe?ibge/cnv/popbr.def),12 taking 2019 as a reference, as well as the data from the Federal Medical Council (CFM) contained in the document MEDICAL DEMOGRAPHY IN BRAZIL 2020.13 Pediatric surgical workforce density (PSWD) was defined as the number of pediatric surgeons for every 100 000 children 14 years of age or younger. To determine the PSWD, data from this last publication were used. This is because this document derives data from CFM, which is the official registry where doctors are required to be registered to practice their specialty.

To assess the surgical demand in the different states and regions of Brazil, a set of index surgical procedures was employed. This set was adapted from previously published data and was divided into two levels of complexity. Low-complexity operations consisted of procedures such as hernia repair, orchidopexy, appendicitis, vascular access, hypospadias repair, congenital megacolon management, pyloromyotomy for pyloric stenosis, and pediatric gastroesophageal reflux repair. Complex operations included neonatal surgery (intestinal atresia, anorectal malformation, esophageal atresia, abdominal wall defects, diaphragmatic hernia, neonatal enterocolitis), correction of biliary atresia, and choledochal cysts. The expected number of surgeries was estimated based on the published incidence of each corresponding pathology.14–17 Surgeries performed because of trauma, oncologic surgeries, transplants, or reoperations were not included in the demand estimate.

To obtain a preliminary assessment of the outcomes of neonatal surgery in the various regions of Brazil, we evaluated the mortality rate associated with a consolidated diagnosis of malformations of the digestive system, which comprised International Classification of Diseases-10 codes ranging from Q38 to Q45.

The data are presented in tables and graphs. Due to the descriptive nature of this study, no attempt was made to perform any statistical calculations with the data.

RESULTS
According to the data from CFM,13 there are a total of 1515 pediatric surgery registries in Brazil, corresponding to 1414 pediatric surgeons (some pediatric surgeons are registered in more than one state), or 2.4 pediatric surgeons per 100 000 inhabitants ≤14 years of age. Of these, 828 were men and 586 were women, with a ratio of 1.41:1. The mean age was 51.5±12.8 years, and the mean time from graduation was 3.4±5.7 years.

The distribution of pediatric surgeons in Brazil is not equal (table 1 and figure 1). PSWD was higher in the Southeast, South, and Central-West regions of Brazil, which tended to have the highest GDP/capita. Conversely, the North and Northeast regions have roughly half the concentration of pediatric surgeons compared to the other regions.

Accordingly, the workload in North and Northeast regions is greater than that in the Central and Southern regions due to the low number of pediatric surgeons (figure 2). Based on the demographic data, a Brazilian pediatric surgeon is expected to perform an average of 147 pediatric operations per year, or 3.1 per week. Of these operations, only nine (6.1%) were highly complex operations. For most neonatal operations, the expected number per pediatric surgeon is less than one per year (figure 3).

Figure 4 indicates that the mortality rate of neonatal surgery in the Southern States (Southeast, South, and Central-West) is roughly half of that observed in the Northern States (North and Northeast).

DISCUSSION
Data from the present study indicate that pediatric surgery is a minor surgical specialty in Brazil compared to other surgical specialties. The number of pediatric surgeons in Brazil represents approximately 0.31% of the total number of doctors in the country.

As previously mentioned, data may be conflicting depending on the source of information. In 2019, while the CFM counted 1515 pediatric surgeons, the IBGE reported the existence of only 674 pediatric surgeons in Brazil. This data disparity has been reported before, but the cause is still unclear.9

Additionally, in 2019, the Brazilian Association of Pediatric Surgeons (CIPE) had only 454 registered members, implying that nearly half of the surgeons performing surgeries on children in Brazil are not certified by the CIPE. It is noteworthy to mention that, theoretically, Brazilian regulations only require a diploma from the CFM to practice any medical or surgical specialty. However, although not mandatory, it is strongly believed that obtaining certification from a medical association, such as CIPE, is perceived as a seal of quality in the professional activity of pediatric surgeons.

According to the data from the CFM, the Brazilian PSWD is slightly lower than that observed in developed countries (2.65 vs 3.28/100 000 children ≤14 years of age). A European Census regarding pediatric surgery indicates that in the European Union, there are 3.9 pediatric surgeons/100 000 children and approximately 1662 neonates for each pediatric surgeon.14 On the other hand, middle-income and low-income countries have 0.94 and 0.05 pediatric surgeons/100 000 children under 15 years
of age. Recently, research suggests that there is a correlation between PSWD <0.37/100 000 and decreased odds of survival for specific pediatric conditions. Accordingly, our findings reveal that the mortality rate for congenital gastrointestinal tract malformations is higher in the lower PSWD regions.

The distribution of pediatric surgeons across the different regions of Brazil is highly unequal, with the

Table 1 Distribution of the demographic and pediatric surgery workforce and workload across the different regions of Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>Northeast</th>
<th>Southeast</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>Central-West</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of inhabitants (IBGE)</td>
<td>18 906 962</td>
<td>57 667 842</td>
<td>89 632 957</td>
<td>30 402 587</td>
<td>16 707 336</td>
<td>213 317 684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of live births</td>
<td>301 635</td>
<td>770 688</td>
<td>2 093 142</td>
<td>796 536</td>
<td>230 474</td>
<td>4 192 475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood mortality (number/1000 births)</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children &lt;1 year old</td>
<td>296 628</td>
<td>758 896</td>
<td>2 068 652</td>
<td>788 491</td>
<td>227 478</td>
<td>4 136 715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children ≤14 years (IBGE)</td>
<td>3 483 144</td>
<td>15 397 314</td>
<td>23 932 000</td>
<td>8 117 491</td>
<td>4 460 859</td>
<td>56 955 822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita (US$)</td>
<td>4024.43</td>
<td>3278.53</td>
<td>7917.89</td>
<td>7579.26</td>
<td>8014.17</td>
<td>6277.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pediatric surgery workforce

| Active pediatric surgeons | 65 | 256 | 796 | 259 | 139 | 1515 |
| Active pediatric surgeons/100 000 children | 1.9 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 |
| Number of children ≤14/pediatric surgeon | 536 | 601 | 301 | 313 | 321 | 376 |
| Number of children <1 year/pediatric surgeon | 4564 | 2964 | 2599 | 3044 | 1637 | 2731 |

Expected number of operations/pediatric surgeon/year

| Low complexity | 230.0 | 149.4 | 131.0 | 153.4 | 82.5 | 137.6 |
| High complexity | 15.1 | 9.8 | 8.6 | 10.0 | 5.4 | 9.0 |
| High complexity except for NEC | 5.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Total annual surgical volume/pediatric surgeon | 245.5 | 159.5 | 139.8 | 163.8 | 88.0 | 146.9 |
| Weekly surgical volume/pediatric surgeon | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 3.1 |

Mortality secondary to gastrointestinal tract malformations

| Number of admissions in 2019 | 514 | 1317 | 2620 | 898 | 497 | 5846 |
| Number of deaths in 2019 | 16 | 54 | 54 | 19 | 8 | 152 |
| Mortality rate (%) | 3.11 | 4.10 | 2.06 | 2.12 | 1.61 | 2.60 |

GDP, Gross Domestic Product; IBGE, Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics; NEC, neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis.

Figure 1 Graphic display of the distribution of the pediatric surgery workforce across the different regions of Brazil. GDP, Gross Domestic Product.
North and Northeast regions having the lowest PSWD (1.9 and 1.7 pediatric surgeons/100 000 children, respectively). This disparity is particularly evident in the North region, where one state, Acre, has only one registered pediatric surgeon serving a population of approximately 900 000 inhabitants. This disparity has been previously associated with GDP per capita, as well as with the mortality rate of children under 5 years old. There is a need for governmental policies to address these disparities and to allocate surgical resources accordingly.

Our findings, based on the incidence of pediatric surgical diseases, show that a Brazilian pediatric surgeon performs an average of 147 operations per year, ranging from 88 to 246 operations annually, depending on the region. However, only 6.1% of these surgeries are high-complexity (including neonatal) surgical procedures. The expected number of specific neonatal operations performed each year by the individual pediatric surgeon is very small, averaging less than one operation/year/pediatric surgeon, for instance, for esophageal atresia or diaphragmatic hernia.

These numbers are not exclusive to our country. Similar trends can be observed in other countries. The European Census on Pediatric Surgery showed that the European surgeon performs an average of 202 procedures per year, of which 11 procedures are on neonates. A 2016 study in the USA indicated that many surgeons do not perform any ‘complex index cases’ for extensive periods. In that study, over the preceding year before recertification, the median number of cases per surgeon was one for esophageal atresia repair, with almost 40% of surgeons doing none. Similarly, 40% of surgeons had not performed any pull-through operations for Hirschsprung’s disease, and 60% had not performed any cases of either biliary atresia or choledochal cyst in the same period. These findings suggest a necessity to re-evaluate the current approach to pediatric surgical care and to consider alternative models, as there may not be enough cases for the average surgeon to maintain competence to repair complex congenital anomalies.

Although a controversial issue, it would be ideal to start a national debate regarding the best healthcare pathway to be offered to those children in need of complex surgical attention. Care for these children is dependent not
only on the presence of well-trained and skilled pediatric surgeons but also on the existence of adequate facilities and highly specialized interdisciplinary pediatric teams (including pediatric anesthesiologists, neonatologists, radiologists, surgically trained nurses, etc) to successfully face the challenges posed by these patients.24 25

International experience suggests that creating decentralized regional centers for pediatric surgical care could improve outcomes and reduce costs.21 26–29

In 2008, the American Pediatric Surgical Association published a position statement saying that ‘Because neonatal and infant surgical conditions are relatively uncommon and teams of appropriately skilled professionals and health systems properly resourced for expert perioperative care of infants are limited in number, the association strongly advocates that the surgical care of high-intensity infants occur within facilities with the human and institutional resources outlined. We view this approach as offering the greatest likelihood of providing optimal medical and surgical care to infants who have significant surgical conditions.’30 This position has been supported by several studies, which have confirmed that regionalization of neonatal surgical care is associated with improved surgical outcomes.31 32

In the UK, all patients with biliary atresia are cared for in three centers: Leeds, Birmingham, and King’s College in London. Notably, numerous well-known hospitals are not on that list.33 As a result of this centralization, each of the three centers sees a substantial number of cases, with an average of more than 30 patients diagnosed with biliary atresia per year. This concentration of cases has led to an improvement in transplant-free survival rates and has allowed the UK to achieve results that are on par with, or even surpass, the best outcomes in Europe.34 35

It is noteworthy that there is already an internal spontaneous ‘regionalization’ within most pediatric surgery groups worldwide, with some surgeons performing or supervising specific complex operations more often than others.36 The development and growth of pediatric urology as a pediatric surgery subspecialty serve as a prime example of the spontaneous trend toward subspecialization, as does the evolution of fetal surgery.37

Arguments against the regionalization of healthcare include the potential for social dislocation for families, the risk of increased incidental expenses, and the likelihood of pediatric surgeons losing their expertise and income.21–23

However, experiences such as the center for anorectal malformations or the hepatobiliary surgery in Cincinnati demonstrate that concentrating the treatment of certain complex issues in resource-rich children’s environments may be better than approaching these problems as a yearly event at a general county hospital by a general pediatric surgeon. These centers have reported that most of the complex cases they handle are children who have been referred after failed primary surgical correction attempts performed at county hospitals by local pediatric surgeons who have received training to treat those patients.38–40

The primary objective is not to restrict the practice of pediatric surgery at any center, which would be unethical, but rather to identify ways to stimulate the development of resource-rich children’s environments devoted to the care of these children. In Brazil, the well-succeeded administration model of the liver transplant program, in which hospitals wishing to start a liver transplant program must meet a comprehensive list of standards to be accredited by the National Health Service and receive payment for their services, can serve as a reference for
the establishment of several regional resource-rich pediatric surgery centers. These centers should be strategically distributed in the different regions of the country according to the expected number of patients and the existing workforce.

Additionally, it is of utmost importance to rethink how we train new pediatric surgeons to work in a situation where they shall perform a significant number of low-complexity operations yearly but only a few complex surgeries. The declining availability of complex procedures increases the cost and duration of pediatric surgery training and drastically changes the job market.41–44 A survey of pediatric surgeons in the USA revealed that while the number of simple procedures is increasing, the number of complex operations is decreasing.45 In Brazil, pediatric surgeons often hold multiple jobs and are constantly on call at multiple hospitals, leading to a lack of comprehensive patient postoperative follow-up. Although this is far from ideal care, it is the reality that the organization of health services in Brazil has led us into. The question then arises: What are we doing to address these issues, and, most importantly, how are we preparing future pediatric surgeons to work within this scenario or, perhaps, to change it?

In the context of this potential scenario, it would be advisable to consider implementing two levels of training of pediatric surgeons in Brazil. The first would comprise a general pediatric surgeon trained over a period of 2 years in general pediatric surgery. A smaller number of specialized pediatric surgeons, selected among those who complete this general training, would undergo 1 or 2 additional years of specialized training in the above-mentioned specialized centers. These latter professionals would be prepared to work with advanced pediatric surgery in the high-complexity pediatric surgery centers scattered around the country. This could result in a rationalization of costs and better-trained experts, improving the quality of the care offered to children needing complex surgical procedures.31 Although controversial by nature, this is an important subject that needs to be objectively examined.

Data from the present study must be regarded with caution, as the results are based only on the activities of individual surgeons. It is acknowledged that pediatric surgeons, in most pediatric hospitals, work together in variable-size groups. Therefore, it would be more accurate to evaluate the volume of the pediatric surgery departments or services instead of that of individual surgeons, as done by the European Census on Pediatric Surgery.17 This would result in a more realistic picture of the present situation. Efforts to perform a national survey for this purpose are underway.

Moreover, it is possible that the surgical department’s expected volume might have been underestimated, as it has been based on a list of index operations that does not include oncologic surgery, trauma, reoperations, or the time to care for the children outside the operating room. Another limitation of this study is the noticeable disparity of information obtained from different sources. To effectively address the problems and to appropriately plan the activities and training programs of pediatric surgeons in Brazil, it is paramount to accurately determine the size and professional organization of the pediatric surgery workforce in the different regions of Brazil. To achieve this, standardization of information must be implemented.

The data presented in this paper highlight that despite a seemingly adequate number of pediatric surgeons in Brazil, there are profound regional disparities that lead to unequal standards of care in the different regions of Brazil. Furthermore, the expected individual volume of complex surgical procedures is very low, indicating the need to discuss innovative approaches to the care of children with complex surgical needs and redesign pediatric surgical residents’ training.

This study aims to offer a more grounded basis for the future planning of pediatric surgery in Brazil. It is imperative that CIPE acknowledge these issues, actively participate in improving data collection, and engage in the debate needed to safeguard the quality of pediatric surgical healthcare. The information provided in this study may assist pediatric surgeons, healthcare professionals, and national policymakers in making informed decisions and pursuing improvements in the field of pediatric surgery in Brazil.
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