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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Dog bites are one of the leading causes of non-fatal emergency room visits in children. These injuries not only cause physical harm but can lead to long-term psychological stress. This study evaluated the current literature related to pediatric dog bite injuries to identify research gaps which should be prioritized to improve a major public health concern.

Methods: We performed a keyword search of PubMed, Scopus, and OVID Medline databases (January 1980–March 2020) for all published studies focused on dog bite injuries in the pediatric population (≤18 years of age) using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Results: Out of 1859 abstracts screened, 43 studies involving 86,880 patients were included. Twenty-nine studies were retrospective chart reviews characterizing the epidemiology of dog bites and their associated treatment outcomes; six were prospective cohort studies; two were cross-sectional studies; and six were experimental studies. Synthesized results demonstrate that children <9 years of age suffer the greatest burden of injuries, with children <6 years of age at higher risk of more severe injuries involving the head, neck, and face.

Conclusion: Studies analyzing the prevention or psychosocial consequences of dog bites injuries are needed.

INTRODUCTION

Dog bite injuries are consistently one of the leading causes of non-fatal emergency room visits in children.1-3 According to data published by the American Pet Products Association in 2018, there were an estimated 89.7 million dogs owned as pets in the USA, with 50% of US households owning at least one dog in 2020.4 Dog bite injuries in children represent a major public health concern with the estimated lifetime risk of a child being bitten by a dog at >50%.2

Evidence supports that most dog bites occur during the summer months and affect younger children, typically less than 9 years old.2-6 A majority of dog bites are a result of the child’s own pet, and within their own home.7-10 The significant risk of children being bitten by a dog paired with the increasing prevalence of US households owning dogs demonstrates the necessity for solutions to this public health problem and may require more robust efforts be put toward education and prevention.2 4

METHODS

Protocol

The protocol for this review was drafted according to the standards established by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and is not registered.12 13

Eligibility criteria

This study was performed at Nationwide Children’s Hospital, a tertiary pediatric trauma center, in Columbus, Ohio. A database search was performed to identify published research articles focusing on dog bite injuries in the pediatric population (≤18 years of age) from January 1980 to March 2020. PubMed, Scopus, and OVID Medline databases were used to identify studies. The search term used were “child” OR “pediatric” AND “dog” AND “bite” AND “injury.” The review followed PRISMA guidelines with two reviewers categorizing the final inclusion of eligible studies and extracted data with a third reviewer adjudicating discordance. Articles were included based on the following criteria: being a US-based original research study, printed in English, readily available in full text, and
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having a study population size >20 (n>20). Articles were excluded if the study population was not specific to children under 19 years of age, if the primary focus of the study was on rabies prevention/therapy, if studies focused on animal bites in general, or if the information was not specific to dog bites but rather to general injury prevention. The reference lists for included articles were searched manually to ensure appropriate inclusion of all relevant articles.

Data charting process
To standardize data extraction between reviewers, an aggregate list of items to be extracted from each article was established before the literature search began. Data charting was completed using Microsoft Excel, and article references were housed in EndNote.

Data items
Data were extracted based on the following variables: title, author, journal, year of publication, study design, location of study, study objectives/purpose, sample size, and main findings. Studies also were categorized based on area of focus (ie, epidemiology, education, prevention, psychosocial effects, and other).

Assessment of risk of bias
Guidelines provided by the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins and Green, 2011) were used in the assessment of bias. The reviewers considered bias at both the systematic review level and at the individual study level. By design, our study introduces bias at the systematic review level by incorporating only US-based studies. This is done to bolster our ability to answer the main study questions of how children in the USA are impacted by dog bites and what gaps can be identified in US population-based research studies. Individual study level bias was assessed qualitatively by looking at each included article's study design and how the data were gathered. Studies using retrospective chart reviews or epidemiological databases were prioritized over studies using self-reported data such as parent surveys. Individual-level bias was also considered with respect to selection bias, blinding of outcome assessments, and selective reporting.

Data analysis plan
Data were analyzed qualitatively using descriptive data from each included study. Using the data items collected, reviewers synthesized the main findings of included articles to report overlaps and differences across studies. These congruencies and variances allow for accurate reporting on the incidence of dog bite injuries in pediatric populations across the USA, characterization of dog bite injuries to this population, as well as the types of treatment and prevention strategies used to mitigate dog bite injuries.

Main findings then were categorized into overarching categories of focus and graphically displayed via a bar graph to demonstrate where much of the research around dog bite is currently centered. Categories were not set a priori and were not mutually exclusive. Rather, they were dictated by descriptions of research findings from each journal article. Data compilation and generation of graphs were done using Microsoft Excel, V.2010.

RESULTS
Systematic literature review and study identification
Out of 1859 potentially relevant articles, 1483 abstracts and titles were screened with 43 studies involving 86880 patients meeting final inclusion criteria and being included in the full text analysis (figure 1). Of the 43 included studies, 29 were retrospective chart reviews characterizing the epidemiology of dog bites and their associated treatment outcomes, with 1 using the National Trauma Database. Six studies were prospective cohort studies and six were experimental studies (five focused on educational/prevention interventions for children and adults and one focused on prophylactic antibiotic therapy) (online supplemental table 1). Only 9 of the 43 studies had a behavioral health component incorporated into the study design or discussion sections.

Dog bite incidence and cost
From 2001 to 2017, there was a clinically significant decrease of 34% in dog bite injuries among children, adolescents, and young adults aged 0–19 years of age. However, during that time period, dog bite injuries still accounted for nearly 2.5 million emergency department (ED) visits in the pediatric population. Annually, dog bite injuries in this population account for more than 100,000 ED visits and more than 10,000 hospital admissions, with a mean length of stay of 2.5 days. In 2015, dog bites accounted for more than 66% of all homeowner insurance liability claims, totaling more than $570 million in paid claims. All of these facts account for the major financial burden of these injuries.

Dog bite injury characteristics and treatment
Children 0–9 years of age suffer the greatest burden of injuries in the pediatric population (0–19 years), accounting for more than 80% of injuries, while those under 6 years of age account for approximately half of all cases. Children <6 years of age are also at a substantially increased risk of bites to the head, neck, and face region, often resulting in more severe injuries which more frequently require operative repair.

A study analyzing the national trauma data bank (NTDB) registry reported that over 70% of cases with an Abbreviated Injury Scale ≥3 occurred in patients 0–5 years of age. The NTDB data also showed that children 0–12 years of age accounted for 95% of all injuries that occurred in the home. The body region injured and location where the injury occurred are inversely proportional with age. Younger children (0–12 years) are more likely...
to be bitten in the head, neck, or face region inside the home. Whereas, as children get older, they tend to be bitten on their extremities and outside of the home more frequently.33

After the injury occurs, there are various algorithms discussed in the literature to guide treatment recommendations, but most injuries do not necessitate operative repair requiring general anesthesia, but rather, involve irrigation and/or primary closure with procedural sedation or local anesthetic.21 24 33 Though varying reports exist in the literature, overall, between one-third and one-half of patients with dog bite injury require some form of procedural repair.4 28 34 Operative repair is far less frequently required but seen most in children <5 years old, as the severity of their injuries is greater.2 4 6 21 24 28 34

Circumstances surrounding dog bite injury
Younger children (≤5 years old) were more likely to be bitten by their family pet or a dog familiar to them.5–7 10 18–21 32 37 41 These bites most often occurred in the home, during positive interactions initiated by the child (petting or playing with the dog), and as a result of resource (food or toys) guarding by the dog.5–7 19 20 32 39 Older children were more often bitten by dogs unfamiliar to them while being active and without interaction with the dog, often in response to territory guarding.7 39 More than half of dogs were categorized as being provoked prior to biting, and one study specifically demonstrated that a majority of the examined dogs had not previously bitten a child and had completed obedience training prior to the bite.5–7 19 37

Dog breeds
Seven studies specifically investigated and identified dog breeds as part of their analyses.6 9 15 17 25 28 30 Often only a fraction of dogs involved in a bite or attack not taking place in the home are identified. Additionally, due to the difficulty in determining a dog’s true genetics, most are defined as mixed breed, leading to a variety of breeds implicated in bite incidents. The tendency of a dog to bite is also known to be a combination of genetic predisposition, early socialization to people, training or maltreatment, the quality of supervision, and behavior of the victim.50 After reviewing patient/family reports in the medical chart, Golinko et al identified 46 breeds in 31% of their cases. Out of 1616 dog bites, the three most prevalent were found to be Pit Bulls (also identified as Staffordshire Bull Terrier, American Staffordshire Terrier, or Bull Terrier, 38.5%), mixed breeds (Pit Bull mixes, Labrador mixes, Pit Bull/Labrador mixes, 13%), and Labradors (8.1%).28 Chen et al identified 58 breeds in 68% (366) of cases, with the most common breeds being mixed (25%), Labrador Retriever (13.7%), Rottweiler (4.9%), and German Shepherd (4.4%).9 Sribnick et al identified dog breed in 55% of cases where Pit Bulls were the most common (50.4%), followed by Rottweilers (12.2%), Labradors (8.4%), German Shepherds (5.3%) and Chows (4.6%).9 In 40 dog bite attacks, the most common breeds
(n=15) were German Shepherd and German Shepherd mix, and the dogs involved in fatal attacks were two Rottweilers, one Husky, and one Akita. In a study using a local country health department, a listed dog breed was available for 54% of cases with 22.5% classified as mixed breed. The most frequently reported dog breeds who had bitten (and were not classified as mixed) were Pit Bull (27.2%), German Shepherd (10.5%), Labrador Retriever (7.2%), Boxer (4.6%), Rottweiler (3.9%), Beagle (3.3%), Jack Russell (2.9%), Bulldog (2.9%), Chihuahua (2.6%), Husky (2.3%), Golden Retriever (2.3%), Dachshund (2.2%), Mastiff (1.9%), Shih-tzu (1.9%), Poodle (1.6%), and Cocker Spaniel (1.5%). Eight additional breeds each representing <1.5% of bites were also identified (Yorkshire Terrier, Great Dane, Australian Shepherd, Doberman, Boston Terrier, Akita, Collie). Though these studies identified dog breeds involved in bite injuries, it is difficult to draw conclusions on the involvement of specific breeds in pediatric dog bites as the overall underlying dog population is not available for comparison, and breed stratification is not possible.

Education and prevention

Studies focused on prevention strategies analyzed educational programs designed to increase knowledge and awareness of safe behavior with dogs in both children and family members. There was consensus that children and parents who engaged in prevention education programs increased their knowledge of safe dog behavior for children. These studies indicated that children older than 4 years of age can learn basic knowledge about how to behave more safely around dogs.

Study characteristics

Very few articles included in our analysis explored dog bite prevention methodology and the psychosocial effects of dog bites on the pediatric arena. Among our review of current literature, 36 articles focused on dog bite characterization, 5 focused on education, 1 on a combination of dog bite characterization and education, 1 on effects of dog bites on the victim, and 1 on psychosocial aspects (figure 2). The topic of dog bite characterization consisted of the following subtopics: incidence and epidemiology of dog bites where descriptions of incidence by age and gender were provided; rate of dog bites within the USA, defined as when or how often an attack occurred; location of dog bite on the body, defined as which body part was affected by the bite; geographical comparison, defined as comparison of different geographical locations within the USA where dog bites were found to be prevalent; treatment of dog bite/management, defined as location where treatment was sought (emergency room, operating room); risk, defined as ORs provided for risk of injury; injury setting, defined as surround environment where dog bites occurred (this also included whether guardian or parental supervision was present or not); dog breed or type, defined as the type or breed of dog that caused the attack; events leading up to the attack, defined as whether or not dogs were provoked; cost, defined as total cost of care related to dog bite injury; and obedience training, defined as behavioral screening of dogs and common stimuli for aggression in dogs. The topic of education centered mostly around programs aimed at bringing about knowledge and awareness of dogs as well as focusing on the behavior of children affected by dog bite injuries. Among the five articles that touch on education, one focused on behavior of children affected by dog bite injuries, one focused on knowledge of dog bite prevention strategies, and three focused on awareness. Among articles with a focus on dog bite characterization, the majority focused on incidence and epidemiology (n=12), location of dog bite on the body (n=14), and treatment and management of dog bite (n=16) (figure 3). When evaluating the geographical distribution of studies, they were found to span the USA, with the exception of five studies which used national databases. Based on their main areas of focus, studies on education and psychosocial aspects were performed in the Eastern USA (figure 4).

DISCUSSION

A systematic literature review was performed to determine existing research gaps related to pediatric dog bite injury. Our goal was to identify the areas that should be prioritized to address this major public health concern, as dog bites remain a leading cause of injury in children. We found that most publications focus on epidemiologic data elements, injury patterns, and treatment. In our review, very little published material addresses the prevention of, or psychosocial consequences, associated with pediatric dog bites. Studies analyzing these aspects are needed as pet ownership continues to grow and the
likelihood of children interacting with dogs in their daily lives becomes inevitable.

**Education and prevention**

Five of the 43 studies within our review did focus on dog bite education and prevention in children using an experimental design. It is reasonable to suggest that education of either the child or parent would play a large role in preventing dog bite injury, but as evidenced by our review, it is rarely addressed by healthcare providers or research.2

Though the exact reasons are unknown, experts in the field often attribute the elevated risk of dog bite injury in children to at least three main factors. First, children’s misperception, misinterpretation, or ignorance of a dog’s perspective due to an underdeveloped theory of mind contributes to stressed or frustrated dogs and leads to aggression. Second, children lack cognitive skills required to recognize and understand how to behave appropriately around dogs. Finally, children being physically shorter in stature likely leads to increased prevalence of bites to the head/neck region often requiring more aggressive intervention than those to the limbs.44 46

Schwebel et al published two prominent prospective studies in 2012 and in 2016 which worked to enhance knowledge on the prevention of dog bite injuries through educational programs.44 46 In 2011, an interactive computer program (The Blue Dog), dollhouse simulations, and live dog interactions were applied to children 3–6 years old. The goals of the study were to improve children’s recognition knowledge about safe and unsafe interactions, improve recall of safe and dangerous behavior in a dollhouse simulation, and decrease risky behavior while engaging with a live dog. Results showed improvement in basic knowledge of how to behave more safely with dogs, but children did not recall lessons from the dollhouse simulations nor implement safer behaviors when exposed to the live dog.46

While these results may seem surprising, they highlight an important topic which researchers have struggled with for years: identifying necessary approaches in transitioning knowledge into actual health behavior change.46 This, paired with the complexities related to child development, calls attention to the need for age-specific strategies being implemented when it comes to effective education. Another possibility suggested by past research is the idea of ‘optimism bias’, in which children gain confidence that dogs are ‘safe’ because their initial exposure produced no negative effect, and they partake in riskier interactions during the subsequent encounter.44–46

To address this idea, in a 2016 study, Schwebel et al introduced a second computer-based program to children...
and performed similar knowledge-based quizzes and dollhouse simulations. However, this time they only introduced the live dog interaction after the modules were completed, whereas children interacted with the live dog both before and after their module education in the previous experiment. Again, children demonstrated a significant gain in knowledge and recognition of safe behavior but did not improve their scores related to dollhouse simulation or live dog interaction.44 To truly succeed in preventing dog bite injuries, it is necessary to identify how to effectively educate the pediatric population and incorporate this knowledge into new learned behaviors.

Another aspect to consider to fully address the prevention of dog bite injury is a caregiver’s lack of knowledge on the topic. In general, dog owners have shown a lack of familiarity about features associated with dog aggression toward children. In Tucson, only two-thirds of the 126 dog-owning parents surveyed believed that infants were at risk of a fatal dog bite. Two-thirds also felt it was safe to leave a 4-year-old child unattended with a dog, and most did not realize the increased prevalence of dog bites among children in general.47,51 In the same study, 77 pediatricians were surveyed. Only 17% reported regularly educating parents on pet-related injuries and 14% felt that education in the office was not worthwhile.51 In contrast, in a study of 455 families in a Denver pediatric practice, 77% of parents believed that dog bite prevention was an important discussion to have with a physician.48 In an ED survey of 48 caregivers with dogs in the household, 56% reported that their dog had not been spayed/neutered, and 21% reported leaving their child alone with the dog.47 A case–control study by Gershman et al demonstrated that biting dogs were more likely to be German Shepherd and Chow Chow breeds, male, and unneutered. Authors strongly suggest that owners, through their selection and treatment of dogs, may be able to reduce the likelihood that a dog will bite in the future. Additionally, they urge pediatricians to advise parents that failure to neuter a dog and selecting a male dog of specific breed may increase the chances of a bite in the household.52

Resolving the public health concern related to dog bites must also be undertaken, and there is currently no literature that has done this. It is important to gain insight on the policies and legislation that are in place in the cities and surrounding communities we live in. Public health law research directed at correlating whether the law has an impact on pediatric dog bite injury would allow for the opportunity to guide lawmakers in the changes and decisions they put forth based on this issue. Additionally, it allows us to educate the public about the laws governing dog ownership and work to prevent dog bites from a novel angle.

A gap clearly remains in successfully translating pediatric knowledge into behavior and how interactions with dogs can impact beliefs about injury vulnerability.44 Innovative measures will be necessary to address these gaps and produce successful prevention strategies. Additionally, the caregiver must be provided with the necessary education and exhibit the willingness to participate in a safe, positive relationship between themselves, their child and their dog.

Psychosocial burdens related to dog bite injury

When considering the psychosocial burden placed on children following a dog bite, only eight studies within our review included a behavioral health component either in the study design or discussion. With minimal research related to this topic, we must first question whether a child’s psychosocial well-being is affected and then identify how and to what degree. With 89.7 million dogs owned as pets in the USA, the chances of a child encountering a dog after their injury are extremely high, if not inevitable. By putting our efforts toward identifying how to alleviate psychosocial disturbances caused by a dog bite injury, we would hope to return these children to some sense of normalcy in their daily lives.

In one of the more significant behavioral studies done by Boat et al,38 the parents of 34 patients aged 0–16 years old who presented to the ED with ‘dog bite’ were contacted for a 4-week follow-up phone interview. In that interview, information was obtained pertaining to: bite incident specifics, further medical/psychological care needed related to the dog bite, contact with additional legal or enforcement agencies, changes in the child’s behavior, changes in the parental concerns about themselves and their children after the dog bite, and parent feedback on the value of having additional services available. At least one new concerning behavior was observed in over 70% of children, with the five most prevalent behaviors seen in 21%–29% of patients. These included: talking a lot about the incident, being fearful of dogs, avoiding dogs, being anxious or worried about seeing doctors going to the hospital, being fearful, and having bad dreams/nightmares. When parents were interviewed about the incident, 86% endorsed changes in their concerns and feelings about themselves and their children, 59% felt guilty they could not protect the child, 59% worried about the child’s scars, and 44% were angry and fearful for their child’s safety. When parents were asked whether their child would benefit from interventions to help with their fears, 50% felt it would be helpful and 85% felt that the best timing for families to get extra help would be in the ED or physician’s office.38

By more thoroughly investigating the specific psychological effects that burden dog bite patients, we may be able to close this clinical gap and bring awareness to institutions of the urgent need for mental health services in this patient population. As clinicians, we must identify how to safely introduce these victims back into a life where dogs exist in order to return to a functional daily existence.
Limitations of the study

This systematic literature review has several limitations. First, we used the most relevant medical databases (PubMed, Medline, Scopus) for this study and did not include other lower yield databases. This could have allowed us to miss additional studies. Additionally, we excluded studies of patient populations ≤20 in order to eliminate the review of case reports and case series, which are often of low statistical power and would not necessarily reflect the true epidemiology of dog bites, but rather focus on unique patient experiences or treatment modalities. It is possible though, that some intervention studies may have been screened out using this exclusion. These limitations may contribute to selection bias and possible under-reporting or over-reporting of findings within the literature.

In conclusion, our systematic review of published literature on pediatric dog bite injury yielded thorough coverage of the epidemiology, injury pattern, and treatments associated with dog bites. Key evidence gaps remain in preventing dog bites from occurring and addressing the psychosocial damage inflicted on the child. To make the biggest impact on what remains a major public health concern, we need to direct research efforts and innovations towards addressing these evidence gaps. It is important to advocate for state and county laws which improve injury prevention in dog attacks, to necessitate parental education at the time of obtaining a dog, and to support the use of educational initiatives led by veterinarians and primary care physicians.
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