Indolent complication after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy: a case report of gastric fistula
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An adolescent patient developed vomiting 1 month after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) insertion. A gastrostomy-tube contrast study confirmed the gastrocolic fistula. The gastrocolic fistula, a rare complication, should be considered in high-risk patients.

After the first PEG tube insertion by Dr. Gauderer in 1979,1 advances in the technique, use in adults and development of different types of tubes have helped various types of patients. Failure rates are similar between laparoscopic (0.5%) and PEG (0.9%) procedures; the later had a higher risk of complications of visceral injury and mechanical issues.2 Similar results were reported in a heterogeneous group of patients.3 However, PEG showed no differences in mortality or infection compared with percutaneous radiologic gastrostomy.4 Complications are inherent with any procedure; awareness of immediate and late complications of PEG insertion is vital. We discuss here a case pertinent to quiescent late (≥2 weeks) complication.

An adolescent patient was neurologically devastated after a motor-vehicle-collision accident. The patient developed 3 days of vomiting 1 month after PEG insertion. The abdominal examination was soft and nontendered, with no organomegaly, and bowel sounds were present. The review of other systems was negative. There were no other accompanying signs or symptoms. The patient was not constipated, had no clinical concern for sepsis, and imaging was completed owing to the persistent vomiting.

A “scout film” of the abdomen showed a nonobstructive bowel pattern (figure 1A). The gastrostomy-tube contrast study revealed an immediate leaking of contrast from the stomach into the colon: a white arrow in figure 1B indicated the stomach’s contrast. The contrast entered immediately into the transverse colon (black arrow, figure 1C) and descending colon (black arrow, figure 1D).

An exploratory laparotomy found dense adhesions, colon being stuck to the abdominal wall, and a well-established gastrocolic fistula. After corrective surgery, the patient tolerated the enteral feeds.

Children undergo PEG, who have difficulty in swallowing and cannot maintain nutrition by mouth. A PEG placement is a safe alternative to an operative gastrostomy tube. Although PEG insertion is a safe procedure, gastrocolic fistula is a recognized complication. It is a challenge when children remain asymptomatic with gastrocolic fistula for a period.

PEG tube insertion is a blind transabdominal technique, and it can be inadvertently placed into the transverse colon.5 Apart from exit-site infection,6 peritubular leakages, migration of the device,7 8 or gastrocolic fistula are recognized complications. This fistulous complication may remain silent or present with non-specific symptoms.9

Thus, gastrocolic fistula must remain in the differential diagnosis. If in doubt, obtain a gastrostomy-tube contrast study as described in our case. The contrast will bypass a segment of the intestine to fill the distal portion via the fistula. The contrast may seep into the peritoneal cavity. Another option is to consider a CT abdomen.4

The case in the vignette had vomiting with no other concern. Previous case reports have described PEG insertion and poor weight gain with vomiting in 18 months9 or fecal emesis in 2 weeks to 3 months.10 Patwardhan et al.10 reported that complications could present from 1 day to 25 months of post-PEG insertion.5 The specific symptoms are abdominal pain in older children and undigested feed or formula per rectum. The risk factors attributed to a gastrocolic fistula are adhesions from previous abdominal surgery, colonic puncture during PEG placement, comorbidities,11 thoracoabdominal deformities,12 and tube migration with possible
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An adolescent patient developed vomiting 1 month after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) insertion. A gastrostomy-tube contrast study confirmed the gastrocolic fistula. The gastrocolic fistula, a rare complication, should be considered in high-risk patients.

After the first PEG tube insertion by Dr. Gauderer in 1979,1 advances in the technique, use in adults and development of different types of tubes have helped various types of patients. Failure rates are similar between laparoscopic (0.5%) and PEG (0.9%) procedures; the later had a higher risk of complications of visceral injury and mechanical issues.2 Similar results were reported in a heterogeneous group of patients.3 However, PEG showed no differences in mortality or infection compared with percutaneous radiologic gastrostomy.4 Complications are inherent with any procedure; awareness of immediate and late complications of PEG insertion is vital. We discuss here a case pertinent to quiescent late (≥2 weeks) complication.

An adolescent patient was neurologically devastated after a motor-vehicle-collision accident. The patient developed 3 days of vomiting 1 month after PEG insertion. The abdominal examination was soft and nontendered, with no organomegaly, and bowel sounds were present. The review of other systems was negative. There were no other accompanying signs or symptoms. The patient was not constipated, had no clinical concern for sepsis, and imaging was completed owing to the persistent vomiting.

A “scout film” of the abdomen showed a nonobstructive bowel pattern (figure 1A). The gastrostomy-tube contrast study revealed an immediate leaking of contrast from the stomach into the colon: a white arrow in figure 1B indicated the stomach’s contrast. The contrast entered immediately into the transverse colon (black arrow, figure 1C) and descending colon (black arrow, figure 1D).

An exploratory laparotomy found dense adhesions, colon being stuck to the abdominal wall, and a well-established gastrocolic fistula. After corrective surgery, the patient tolerated the enteral feeds.

Children undergo PEG, who have difficulty in swallowing and cannot maintain nutrition by mouth. A PEG placement is a safe alternative to an operative gastrostomy tube. Although PEG insertion is a safe procedure, gastrocolic fistula is a recognized complication. It is a challenge when children remain asymptomatic with gastrocolic fistula for a period.

PEG tube insertion is a blind transabdominal technique, and it can be inadvertently placed into the transverse colon.5 Apart from exit-site infection,6 peritubular leakages, migration of the device,7 8 or gastrocolic fistula are recognized complications. This fistulous complication may remain silent or present with non-specific symptoms.9

Thus, gastrocolic fistula must remain in the differential diagnosis. If in doubt, obtain a gastrostomy-tube contrast study as described in our case. The contrast will bypass a segment of the intestine to fill the distal portion via the fistula. The contrast may seep into the peritoneal cavity. Another option is to consider a CT abdomen.4

The case in the vignette had vomiting with no other concern. Previous case reports have described PEG insertion and poor weight gain with vomiting in 18 months9 or fecal emesis in 2 weeks to 3 months.10 Patwardhan et al.10 reported that complications could present from 1 day to 25 months of post-PEG insertion.5 The specific symptoms are abdominal pain in older children and undigested feed or formula per rectum. The risk factors attributed to a gastrocolic fistula are adhesions from previous abdominal surgery, colonic puncture during PEG placement, comorbidities,11 thoracoabdominal deformities,12 and tube migration with possible
overdistension of the stomach. We highlight the rare complication of PEG tube placement. It is important to have a low threshold for a diagnostic test and to correct any identifiable risk factor.

Evaluation for surgical complications in children with gastrostomy tubes must be considered in the differential diagnosis, and appropriate imaging study will help us to identify the problem. Early recognition and identification of risk factors for developing gastrocolic fistula will prevent delayed diagnosis.
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